

#### UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Unit d States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. ZEICHER 236007

HM12/0417

CUSHMAN DARBY & CUSHMAN PILLSBURY MADISON AND SUTRO 1100 NEW YORK AVE. NW 9TH FLOOR EAST TOWER WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

**EXAMINER** MCGARRY, S

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1635

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

### Notice of Abandonment

Application No. **08/807,500** 

Sean McGarry

Applica...(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1635

Zeicher

This application is abandoned in view of: applicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on ☐ A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of ) was received on , which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on A proposed response was received on \_\_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection. (A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC)). No response has been received. applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance. ☐ The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of \_\_\_\_\_\_) was received on \_\_\_\_\_. ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_ . The issue fee has not been received. ☐ applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability. Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of \_\_\_\_\_\_) were received on ☐ The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable. ■ No proposed new formal drawings have been received. the express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on . the letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. the letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. X the reason(s) below: Applicant filed an improper RCE on 2/5/01 (see attached Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination) and the time for a proper response including extensions of time, expired upon the improper submission. SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER



# **United States**

**Commissioner for Patents** ent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO./TITLE

		DATE MAILED:		
		NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)		
The request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on $2/5/01$ is improper for reason(s) indicated below:				
	1.	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application for a design patent. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).		
	2.	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application that was filed before June 8, 1995. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).		
	,	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application unless prosecution in the application is closed. If the RCE was accompanied by a reply to a non-final Office action, the reply will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111. If the RCE was <u>not</u> accompanied by a reply, the time period set forth in the last Office action continues to run from the mailing date of that action.		
		The request was not filed before payment of the issue fee, and no petition under 37 CFR 1.313 was granted. If this application has not yet issued as a patent, applicant may wish to consider filing either a petition under 37 CFR 1.313 to withdraw this application from issue, or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).		
	5.	The request was not filed before abandonment of the application. The application was abandoned, or proceedings terminated on Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 to revive this abandoned application.		
	6.	The request was not accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.		
¤	7.	The request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.		
Note: If a request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) has been filed in the utility or plant application (including a previously filed CPA) that was filed on or after May 29, 2000, to request for a CPA has been treated as a RCE because the CPA practice no longer applies to such application. The constructive RCE, however, is improper for reason(s) indicated above.				

## A copy of this notice <u>MUST</u> be returned with any reply.

Direct the reply and any questions about this notice to:				
	, Examining Group _			
(703) 30 FORM <b>PTO-2051</b> (Rev. 3/2001)				